

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/868,233	08/20/2001		Jun Yamada	GOT 142 NP	6125
23995	7590	12/12/2002			
		AGNE, PC	EXAMINER		
1101 14TH SUITE 500	SIREEI,	NW	PEREZ, GUILLERMO		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				ART UNIT	D. D. D. D. D. C. D. D.
				2824	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 12/12/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•								
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/868,233	YAMADA ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Guillermo Perez	2834					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address					
A SH	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M	ONTH(S) FROM					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOn the service of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication aperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and prevention of the provision of	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re. t. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty priod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' tatute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	30 September 2002 .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for al							
Disposit	closed in accordance with the practice un ion of Claims	uer Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.L	7. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 5-12 is/are pending in the applica	ation.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>5-12</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to by the	ne Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection		, ,					
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on _		isapproved by the Examiner.					
401	If approved, corrected drawings are required i							
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.						
Priority —	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)	l All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	nents have been received in A	oplication No					
* ;	 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internationa See the attached detailed Office action for a 	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom							
	a) The translation of the foreign language	•						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don							
Attachmer	nt(s)							
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper [′] No	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - Claims 5-6, 9-10, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koike et al. (WO 97/47491) in view of Deng et al. (U. S. Pat. 6,047,787).

Koike et al. disclose a hybrid drive device for use in a hybrid vehicle, the hybrid vehicle having a generator (2) which is connected to an engine (1) for driving the generator (2) and to a first converter (4) for converting alternating current generated by the generator (2) to direct current for charging a storage device (17) connected to the first converter (4), and an inverter (4) which is connected to the first converter (4) and the storage device (17) and which converts alternating current generated by an electrical motor (2) during regenerating operation to direct current for charging the storage device (17),

wherein the electrical motor (2) is connected to the inverter (4) and drives at least one wheel of the hybrid vehicle with electrical power from the storage device (17) and/or electrical power from the generator; and

wherein the storage device (17) includes:

Art Unit: 2834

a condenser bank (figure 2) having a plurality of condenser cells (17) connected in series:

a plurality of parallel monitors (19), each parallel monitor (19) being connected in parallel to a corresponding one of the condenser cells (17), monitoring a terminal voltage of the corresponding condenser cell (17), and conducting a direct current so as to bypass the corresponding condenser cell (17) if the terminal voltage of the corresponding condenser cell (17) exceeds a fixed voltage (according to GB 2,319,407, which is a translation of the Japanese document).

Koike et al. disclose that the storage device (17) is charged with electrical power generated by the electrical motor (2) during regeneration or with excess electrical power of the generator (2), and the stored electrical power in the storage device (17) is supplied to the electrical motor (2) when a large amount of power is required during vehicle acceleration.

However, Koike et al. do not disclose that the second converter is a bidirectional switching converter which is connected in series with the condenser bank and which controls the direct current for charging the condenser bank to a fixed current. Koike et al. do not disclose that the controller controls the second converter to supply power for the electrical motor corresponding to the required power for driving the vehicle. Koike et al. do not disclose that the second converter of the storage device further controls a discharge voltage of the condenser bank to a fixed voltage.

Deng et al. disclose that the second converter (14) is a bidirectional switching converter which is connected in series with the condenser bank (12) and which controls

Art Unit: 2834

the direct current for charging the condenser bank to a fixed current. Deng et al. disclose that the controller controls the second converter (14) to supply power for the electrical motor (10) corresponding to the required power for driving the vehicle. Deng et al. disclose that the second converter (14) of the storage device (12) further controls a discharge voltage of the condenser bank (12) to a fixed voltage. The invention of Deng et al has the purpose of preventing overvoltage within an electric power converter while allowing regeneration energy to be captured with high efficiency.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to modify the hybrid device of Koike et al. and provide it with the second converter disclosed by Deng et al. for the purpose of preventing overvoltage within an electric power converter while allowing regeneration energy to be captured with high efficiency.

 Claims 7-8 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koike et al. in view of Deng et al. as applied to claims 5 and 9 above, and further in view of Araki et al. (U. S. Pat. 5,563,454).

Koike et al. and Deng et al. substantially teaches the claimed invention except that it does not show that each condenser cell of the storage device is an electrical double-layer condenser which has a large electrostatic capacity.

Araki et al. disclose that each condenser cell of the storage device is an electrical double-layer condenser (*column 2, line 64 through column 3, line 3*) for the purpose of providing a subsidiary storage device.

Art Unit: 2834

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to modify the hybrid device of Koike et al. and provide it with the condenser configuration disclosed by Araki et al. for the purpose of providing a subsidiary storage device.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide condensers with an energy density greater than 10Wh/kg since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation. *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 2834

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Guillermo Perez whose telephone number is (703) 306-5443. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on (703) 308 1371. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305 3432 for regular communications and (703) 305 3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308 0956.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 6

Guillermo Perez December 10, 2002